

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,018	07/15/2005	Brian Strong	CCS 0211 USNP	3198
27777 PHILIP S. JOI	7590 08/04/2005 FNSON		EXAMINER	
JOHNSON &	JOHNSON	YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
	ON & JOHNSON PLAZ WICK, NJ 08933-7003	A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of	Notice of Abandonment	10/519,018	STRONG ET AL.	
	Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
		MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618	

	MICAH-PAUL YOUNG	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>04 December 2008</u> . (a) ☐ A reply was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (c) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (d) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (c) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (d) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (b) the final rejection. (e) ☐ A proposed reply was received on						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filec Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which pla	aces the			
c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 	5).					
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory properties of the statutory properti						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	eriod set in, the No	otice of			
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	gnee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for see	eking court reviev			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
Examiner contacted Applicant on 7/21/09, no respo	nse was received. The application	n has been aban	doned.			
/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618	/MICAH-PAUL YOUNG/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618					

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)